METU SFL - EPE ANALYSES

PHASE 3:

A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF EPE WITH TOEFL AND IELTS (CBT)

School of Foreign Languages July 2005

METU EPE RELIABILITY ANALYSIS: A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF EPE WITH TOEFL AND IELTS

PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

The purpose of this study is firstly to determine the reliability of METU EPE by correlating EPE scores with TOEFL and IELTS scores. The second purpose of this study is to calibrate EPE scores with TOEFL scores, considering the minimum TOEFL score required by other national and international universities to be exempted from English Preparatory School, and also considering the expectation of the DBE management and staff in favor of increasing the TOEFL scores in the existing EPE equivalence table.

SAMPLE

60 DBE students were selected through stratified random selection from all three groups, namely PIN, INT and UIN. When random selection was being carried out, the students who were unlikely to qualify to take June 2005 EPE were excluded. Out of 60 students, 3 did not qualify to take the EPE, 1 did not take the EPE and 4 did not take the TOEFL. Therefore, sample size to carry out the analysis reduced to 52, and 3 students did not qualify for EPE Stage 2.

ANALYSIS

1. Correlations

In order to masure the validity of EPE, it was correlated to TOEFL and EELTS, which are regarded to be valid tests. EPE-TOEFL correlation was measured both on the basis of sub-tests (language, reading, listening, writing), and the whole test EPE-IELTS correlation was measured on the basis of reading and listening sub-tests.

Before carrying out correlation analysis, the scatter plot showing the distribution of grades was studied, and 4 students were noted to be outliers (3 of whom did not qualify EPE Stage 2), and therefore excluded in the correlation of the related components.



When TOEFL scores are converted into 0-100 scale, the distribution of EPE and TOEFL scores are:



Although generally moderate correlation values were obtained regarding the EPE and TOEFL sub-tests, the correlation value is high on the whole test, which is due to the fact that correlation value increases as the number of test items increases.

Correlation regarding sub-tests and whole tests are shown below:

A	А	high	correlation	(0.867)	was	noted	between	EPE-TO	DEFL	whole t	test
11.	11	mgn	conclution	(0.007)	wus	noteu	oetween				C 5t.

Descriptive Statistics					
Mean Std. Deviation N					
EPE^TOTA	74,3173	11,1369			
TFL TOTA 190,87 32,74 52					

Correlations					
		EPE TOTA	TFL TOTA		
EPE^TOTA	Pearson Correlation	1,000	,867*		
	Sig. (2.tailed)	1	,000		
	Ν	52	48		
TFL^TOTA	Pearson Correlation	,867*-	1,000		
	Sig. (2.tailed)	,000	1		
	Ν	48	52		

87

Correlation Is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

B. A moderate correlation (0,539) was noted between EPE-TOEFL "language use" sub-tests.

Descri	ptive	Statist	ics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	Ν
EPE_LANG	13,2308	2,4101	52
TFL_LANG	19,5192	4,0220	52

Correlations

		EPE LANG	TFL l_ANG
EPE_LANG	Pearson Correlation	1,000	.539"
	Sig. (2-tailecl)	,	,000
	Ν	52	48
tfl_MNG	Pearson Correlation	,539*^	1,000
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,
	Ν	48	52

Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

C. Correlations slightly above moderate were noted between EPE-TOEFL and EPE-IELTS "reading" subtests, 0,727 and 0,625 respectively.

Descriptive Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
EPE_READ	23,4231	3,9275	52
TFLREAD	19,9615	3,3604	52
IEL READ	27,95	6,52	39

		EPE READ	TFLREACL	IEL READ
EPE_READ	Pearson Correlation	1,000) .625*
	Sig. (2-tailed)	1	TJOD	.000
	Ν	52	48	38
TFLREAD	Pearson Correlation	,727"	1,000	,499*
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	1	,002
	Ν	48	52	37
IEL_READ	Pearson Correlation	.625*'	.499"	1,000
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	.002	
	Ν	38	37	39

Correlations

• Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

D. Correlation slightly above moderate (0,686) was noted between EPE-TOEFL "listening" sub-tests. Moderate correlation (0,509) was noted between EPE-IELTS "listening" sub-tests.

	-		
	Mean	Std. Deviation	Ν
EPE^LIST	24,9904	3,9525	52
TFL^LIST	17,7692	4,3908	52
IEL_LIST	24.28	5,67	39

Descriptive Statistics

Correlations					
		EPE LIST	TFL LIST	IEL LIST	
EPE_LIST	Pearson Correlation	1,000	.686"^	,509*^	
	Sig. (2-taHed)	,	,000	,001	
	Ν	52	48	38	
TFL_LIST	Pearson Con-elation	,686*^	1,000	,578^^	
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000		,000	
	Ν	48	52	37	
IEL^LIST	Pearson Conrelation	,509"	,578*-	1,000	
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,001	,000		
	Ν	38	37	39	

Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

E. A low and statistically meaningless correlation (0,244) was noted between EPE-TOEFL "writing" subtest.

Descriptive Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	Ν
EPE^WRIT	12,6731	3,3194	52
TFL WRIT	3,5577	,8892	52

Correlations

		EPE WRIT	TFL WRIT
EPE_WRIT	Pearson Correlation	1,000	,244
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,	,095
	Ν	52	48
TFL^WRIT	Pearson Correlation	,244	1,000
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,095	,
	Ν	48	52