## THE SURVEY CONDUCTED ON STUDENTS

REPEATING THEIR STUDIES AT THE
DEPARTMENT OF BASIC ENGLISH

## 2009-2010 PIN GROUP COMPOSITION

Failure rate: 57.79 \%

BG
67\%

## 2009-2010 INT GROUP COMPOSITION

Failure rate: 15.72\%
BG
3\%
IN
$12 \%$

EL
85\%

## 2009-2010 UIN GROUP COMPOSITION

Failure Rate: 4,6\%


## GROUP POPULATIONS: THE TREND



## RATIONALE AND EXPECTED RESULTS

## Aim:

- to explore the factors to which the students attribute their failure
> to obtain data that will shed light on the endeavour to decrease the numbers of repeat students.


## PARTICIPATION DISTRIBUTION OF STUDENTS ACCORDING TO LEVELS

| Student | LEVEL |  |  |  | UP | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Numbers | BG | EL | IN | UP |  |  |
| Responses | 3 | 168 | 195 | 34 | 320 | $80 \%)$ |
| Total Repeat <br> No.s | 2 | 133 | 156 | 29 | 400 |  |

## The following were foreseen as perceived reasons for failure

EXTERNAL FACTORS
> academic adjustment problems,
, social / cultural adjustment problems,
> problems concerning study habits,

INTERNAL FACTORS
> problems related to the study environment,
> problems related to course content and exams,

## Some of the expected outcomes of the survey are

- if possible, developing or having the University develop solutions to the external factors to which students attribute their failure,
> developing solutions to internal factors to which students attribute their failure without lowering standards and the quality of education,
> having gained an insight into their problems, guiding students in becoming better learners of a language.


## I. Personal Information

The first section of the survey tool focuses on the students' background regarding 4 factors.

1. their high school and previos training in English,

## DISTRIBUTION OF STUDENTS ACCORDING TO HIGH SCHOOL TYPE

| School Type | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Anatolian High School | 145 | 45,3 |
| Science High School | 16 | 5,0 |
| Teacher Training Vocational School | 46 | 14,4 |
| Other Vocational School | 23 | 7,2 |
| Private High School / College | 15 | 4,7 |
| Public High School | 51 | 15,9 |
| TOTAL | 296 | 92,5 |
| Missing | 24 | 7,5 |

## DISTRIBUTION OF HIGH SCHOOLS ACCORDING TO GEOGRAPHICAL REGION

| Region | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| CENTRAL ANATOLIA | $116(90 / 116$ Ankara $)$ | 39,8 |
| AEGEAN | $51(23 / 51$ İzmir $)$ | 17,6 |
| MARMARA | $50(26 / 50$ İstanbul $)$ | 17,1 |
| MEDITERRANEAN | 27 | 9,2 |
| BLACK SEA | 26 | 8,9 |
| EASTERN | 14 | 4,7 |
| SOUTH EASTERN | 8 | $\mathbf{2 , 7}$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 , 0}$ |

## ENGLISH TRAINING DURING YEARS 4-8

|  | Frequency | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | 27 | 8,4 |
| Yes | 293 | 91,6 |
| Total | 320 | 100,0 |

## ENGLISH TRAINING DURING YEARS 9-12

|  | Frequency | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | 35 | 10,9 |
| Yes | 285 | 89,1 |
| Total | 320 | 100,0 |

## I. Personal Information

The first section of the survey tool focuses on the students' background regarding 4 factors.

1. their high school and previos training in English,
2. their faculty at METU and its ranking in their university entrance preferences,

## RESPONDENTS' FACULTIES



## PERCENTAGES ACCORDING TO FACULTIES

## 2009 Admissions

## Respondents



## RESPONDENTS' PLACEMENT ACCORDING TO PREFERENCE

PREFERENCE RANKING


## I. Personal Information

The first section of the survey tool focuses on the students' background regarding 4 factors.

1. their high school and previos training in English,
2. their faculty at METU and its ranking in their university entrance preferences,
3. where they resided the previous academic year

## WHERE THE RESPONDENTS RESIDED



## I. Personal Information

The first section of the survey tool focuses on the students' background regarding 4 factors.

1. their high school and previos training in English,
2. their faculty at METU and its ranking in their university entrance preferences,
3. where they resided the previous academic year
4. their academic standing at DBE the previous academic year.

## Respondents' Average Grades at DBE (2009-2010)

## FIRST-TERM AVERAGES

## YEARLY AVERAGES

| Score Range | Frequency | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12-23$ | 26 | 8,1 |
| $24-35$ | 217 | 67,8 |
| $36-45$ | 54 | 16,6 |
| Missing | 23 | 7,2 |
| Total | 297 | 92,8 |


| Score Range | Frequency | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $49,50-50,00$ | 20 | 6,2 |
| $51,00-55,00$ | 43 | 13,5 |
| $56,00-60,00$ | 55 | 17,2 |
| $61,00-64,30$ | 54 | 16,9 |
| 64,50 \& higher | 124 | 44,9 |
| Missing | 24 | 8,7 |
| Total | 276 | 86,3 |

## The Correlation between $1^{\text {st }}$ Term and Yearly Averages

|  |  | 1st Term <br> Average | Yearly <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pearson Correlation | 1 | ,$- 128^{*}$ |
|  | N | 297 | 268 |
| Yearly Average | Pearson Correlation | ,$- 128^{*}$ | 1 |
|  | N | 268 | 277 |

## JUNE 2010 EPE

## WHETHER OR NOT THE REPONDENTS TOOK JUNE EPE

|  | Frequency | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | 191 | 59,7 |
| Yes | 124 | 38,8 |
| Total | 315 | 98,4 |
| Missing | 5 | 1,6 |
| Total | 320 | 100,0 |

## JUNE EPE SCORES

|  | Frequency | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $14,00-24,00$ | 47 | 37,9 |
| $40,50-50,00$ | 35 | 28,22 |
| $51,00-55,00$ | 17 | 13,7 |
| $55,50 \&>$ | 21 | 16,93 |
| Total | 120 | 96,77 |
| Missing | 4 | , 3 |
| Total | 124 | 100,0 |

## CORRELATION BETWEEN YEARLY AVERAGES AND JUNE EPE GRADES

## CORRELATIONS

|  |  | Yearly <br> Average | June EPE <br> Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yearly | Pearson <br> Correlation <br> Average | 1 | , $199^{*}$ |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) |  | , 042 |
| June EPE <br> Grade | Pearson <br> Correlation <br> Sig. (2-tailed) | , $199^{*}$ | 1 |
|  | , 042 |  |  |

## DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS

|  | Mean | Std. Deviation | N |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yearly <br> Average | 68,2769 | 5,31227 | 105 |
| June EPE <br> Grade | 39,1095 | 15,17320 | 105 |

## PERCEPTION OF SUMMER SCHOOL IN TERMS OF BENEFIT

Extremely: 11


## SEPTEMBER \& AUGUST EPE SCORES

| August EPE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequency | Percent |
| $10,00-24,00$ | 145 | 45,3 |
| $40,00-50,00$ | 35 | 10,9 |
| $50,50-55,00$ | 43 | 13,4 |
| $55,50 \&>$ | 24 | 7,5 |
| Total | 247 | 77,2 |
| Missing | 73 | 22,8 |
| Total | 320 | 100,0 |


| September EPE Score |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequency | Percent |
| $10,00-24,00$ | 210 | 65,6 |
| $40,00-50,00$ | 22 | 6,9 |
| $50,50-55,00$ | 11 | 3,4 |
| $55,50 \&>$ | 29 | 9,1 |
| Total | 272 | 85 |
| Missing | 48 | 15 |
| Total | 320 | 100,0 |

## DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS \& CORRELATIONS

| Descriptive Statistics |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | N |
| June EPE | 36,88 | 14,76 | 90 |
| August EPE | 40,92 | 15,60 | 90 |
| Sept. EPE | 32,52 | 15,55 | 90 |


| Correlations |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | June EPE | August EPE | Sept. EPE |
| June EPE | Pearson Corr. | 1 | ,361** | ,038 |
|  | Sig. |  | ,000 | ,725 |
| August EPE | Pearson CorR. | ,361** | 1 | ,201 |
|  | Sig. (2tailed) | ,000 |  | ,058 |
| Sept. EPE | Pearson Corr. | ,038 | ,201 | 1 |
|  | Sig. | ,725 | ,058 |  |

## 2. Academic and Social Adjustment

The second section of the survey tool focuses on the difficulties encountered by students during the 2009-2010 academic year regarding
the new social environment,
their study environment and study habits,
the programs, materials and academic requirements.

## HAD SOCIAL ADJUSTMENT PROBLEMS



## SOCIAL ADJUSTMENT PROBLEMS

## PROBLEMS AFFECTED ACADEMIC SUCCESS (FALL)

## PROBLEMS AFFECTED ACADEMIC SUCCESS (SPRING)



Question 1 asks students to explain, in 1-2 sentences the social / cultural adjustment problems they encountered.

Social / Cultural Adjustment


## PROBLEMS RELATED TO STUDY ENVIRONMENT

## HAD PROBLEMS

## PROBLEMS AFFECTED ACADEMIC SUCCESS



Question 2 asks students to explain in 1-2 sentences, the problems they encountered related to their study environment.

Study Environment


## STUDY ENVIRONMENT

| THE ENVIRONMENT (total) | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 , 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The dormitories are very bad in terms <br> of study environment | 35 | 10,9 | 50,0 |
| We couldn't find a place to study in the <br> library after 3:30 | 4 | 1,3 | 5,7 |
| The study halls are insufficient | 8 | 2,5 | 11,4 |
| SAC was very crowded | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | 1,4 |

## STUDY ENVIRONMENT

| OTHER (total) | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 , 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Afternoon classes are not beneficial | 13 | 4,1 | 18,6 |
| My house was very far | 6 | 1,9 | 8,6 |
| Pressure at home | 1 | , 3 | 1,4 |
| I couldn't get along with people in the <br> dorm | $\mathbf{2}$ | , 6 | 2,9 |

## ACADEMIC ADJUSTMENT PROBLEMS

## HAD PROBLEMS

## PROBLEMS AFFECTED ACADEMIC SUCCESS



Question 3 asks students to explain in 1-2 sentences, the academic adjustment problems they encountered.

Academic Adjustment


Academic Adiustment

## THE STUDENT

| THE STUDENT (total) | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| It was the first time I was learning English | 15 | 4,7 | 11,4 |
| Exam anxiety affected me | 1 | , 3 | , 8 |
| I relied on my previous knowledge | 2 | , 6 | 1,5 |
| I was afraid to ask the teacher questions | 1 | , 3 | , 8 |
| I underestimated the challenge at the | 11 | 3,4 | 8,3 |
| beginning | 5 | 1,6 | 3,8 |
| I was unwilling | 13 | 4,1 | 9,8 |
| I didn't know how to learn English |  |  |  |

## THE TEACHER

| THE TEACHER (total) | $\mathbf{3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 , 3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The teacher was not strict enough | 5 | 1,6 | 3,8 |
| The teacher failed to attend to our needs | 4 | 1,3 | 3,0 |
| The teacher couldn't address our level | 1 | , 3 | , 8 |
| The teacher spoke English | 3 | , 9 | 2,3 |
| The teacher demotivated us | 11 | 3,4 | 8,3 |
| The teacher was bad | 12 | 3,8 | 9,1 |

## THE INSTITUTION

| THE INSTITUTION (total) | 48 | $\mathbf{1 5 , 1}$ | 36,4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The approach to teaching English was different | 10 | 3,1 | 7,6 |
| I couldn't benefit from the lessons | 4 | 1,3 | 3,0 |
| The lessons were challenging | 15 | 4,7 | 11,4 |
| My initial placement was wrong | 5 | 1,6 | 3,8 |
| There was no time to internalize what was | 1 | , 3 | , 8 |
| taught | 7 | 2,2 | 5,3 |
| The rules were strict | 6 | 1,9 | 4,5 |
| The system was wrong |  |  |  |

## STUDY SKILLS

## Lacked Appropriate Study Skills



## THE PROGRAM

## WAS TOO LOADED (FALL)

## WAS TOO FAST (FALL)



## THE PROGRAM

## WAS TOO LOADED (SPRING)



## WAS TOO FAST (SPRING)



## THE PROGRAM

## TOO DIFFICULT (FALL)



## TOO DIFFICULT (SPRING)



## THE PROGRAM

Didn't take the courses seriously due to loose program


## THE PROGRAM

I didn't take the courses seriously relying on my background


## THE GRAMMAR LOAD

## Grammar



## THE VOCABULARY LOAD

## Vocabulary



## THE READING LOAD

## Reading



## THE LISTENING LOAD

## Listening



## THE WRITING LOAD

## Writing



## ACHIEVEMENT EXAMS

Achievement exams didn't match the syllabus


## ACHIEVEMENT EXAMS

Achievement exams were difficult


Allocated time was not enough


In general, EPE was difficult


## Language Use: difficult



EPE

## Reading: difficult



## Listening: difficult



EPE

Note-Taking: difficult


EPE

Writing: difficult


Question 4 asks students if they could have been more successful under different conditions / given different opportunities and to make suggestions.

Suggestions


## THE PROGRAM

| THE PROGRAM (total) | 78 | $\mathbf{2 4 , 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 , 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The first term was too loose, second term too tight | 39 | 12,2 | 26,9 |
| More time should be spent on reading | 6 | 1,9 | 4,1 |
| This much time shouldn't be spent on grammatical details | 4 | 1,3 | 2,8 |
| The Summer School program was bad | 2 | , 6 | 1,4 |
| New material shouldn't be covered the MT week | 1 | , 3 | , 7 |
| BG students need more time | 3 | , 9 | 2,1 |
| We should be taught how to use the language | 3 | , 9 | 2,1 |
| EL and INT group programs should be more challenging |  |  |  |
| Effective teaching of vocabulary is necessary | 1 | , 3 | , 7 |
| Students should be placed in Summer School according to their | 2 | , 6 | 1,4 |
| levels | 1 | , 3 | , 7 |
| More weight should be given to listening | 7 | 2,2 | 4,8 |
| There should be fewer hours of class per day | 7 | 2,8 | 6,2 |

## THE EXAMS

| THE EXAMS (total) | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 , 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exams should be easier | 2 | , 6 | 1,4 |
| Practice towards EPE is insufficient | 37 | 11,6 | 25,5 |
| Success shouldn't be evaluated through a single <br> exam. | $\mathbf{2}$ | , 6 | 1,4 |
| Mid-Terms questions should be multiple choice | 4 | 1,3 | 2,8 |

## THE TEACHER

THE TEACHER (total)
Teachers should force the students to do homework and to study
Personal learning styles are not taken into consideration
Teachers should attend more to the needs of unsuccessful students
Teachers should force the students to do homework and to study

| 13 | 4,1 | 8,9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{lll}5 & 1,6 & 3,4\end{array}$

2 ,6
1,4
$5 \quad 1,6 \quad 3,4$
$5 \quad 1,6 \quad 3,4$3,4

## OTHER

| OTHER (total) | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| We are to blame for our failure | 1 | , 3 | , 7 |
| The difference in dormitory conditions should be <br> eliminated | 2 | , 6 | 1,4 |
| Study environments should be provided | 5 | 1,6 | 3,4 |
| Support should be provided in terms of adjustment to <br> university | 1 | , 3 | , 7 |

